

FILING DATE

12/20/2001

02/12/2004

7590

Douglas A. Mullen Dickinson Wright PLLC

1901 L. Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

10/027,814

Suite 800

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
Azmi B. Osman	27006-0002	9480	
	EXAM	1INER	
	VAN PELT, BRADLEY J		
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<u> </u>	***************************************	

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
è	Advisory Action	10/027,814	OSMAN, AZMI B.	(
•	navicery near.	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Bradley J Van Pelt	3682	4	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress	
There final recondit	REPLY FILED 03 February 2004 FAILS TO Perfore, further action by the applicant is required rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Assignment (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	I to avoid abandonment of this appli er: (1) a timely filed amendment wh Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper repict ich places the application.	oly to a 🦸 cation in	
	PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]		Ĺ	
have be 37 CFR (b) abov		is Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in thater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE THE CONTY OF THE	If the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	see MPEP extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in	
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3)				
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:			
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);		
(b) \(\sum \) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	lote below);			
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ition in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the	
(d	they present additional claims without cannot be note:	anceling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.	
_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ requestion in condition for allowance because	est for reconsideration has been con e: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NC	OT place the	
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly	
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim			and an	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	lows:			
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-21 (per final rejection).				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8.			the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
	Other:				
. •					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the channels in the base of Onozaki taper downward from the first and second end towards an accumulation area (see fig. 2, double solid lines indicate channels, which taper downwardly in the direction of the accumulation area). Also the channels reinforce the oil pan, because when loaded from the front or sides, the pan will hold a higher load until failure than a pan absent channels.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600